Provincial Policy Conservation Authority Updates

Urban Land Institute

February 25, 2021

Catherine Lyons

Boodiman Agenda

• The proposed changes alter both the permitting process and the scope of Conservation Authorities' mandate



- Process Changes
- Mandate Changes
- Conversation with Eldon on how this will change the planning practice and process

Permits: Process Changes



Road Map for Permitting





Minister Issues Permits



"MZO" or "Mandatory" Permits

Application to CA MZO in Place

Lands NOT in the Greenbelt

CA "shall <u>**not**</u> refuse to issue a permit" "despite, anything in Subsection 3(5) of the *Planning Act*"

- Consistency with PPS and
- Conformity with Provincial Plans

CA may attach conditions to the permit

File a Permit Application to CA for MZO or Mandatory Permit



Mandatory Permits - Agreements

- CA "shall enter into an agreement" with the permit holder and the permit holder may add a municipality or such other person or entity as they consider appropriate as parties to the agreement
- Agreement shall set out actions or requirements that the permit holder must complete or satisfy in order to compensate for ecological impacts and any other impacts that may result from the development project
- Development cannot proceed until the agreement is executed
- If the conditions in a permit conflict with the terms of the MZO, the MZO prevails

Changes in Conservation Authority's Mandate



Programs and Services

Today

The objects of a CA are to provide "programs and services designed to further the conservation, restoration, development and management of natural resources other than gas, oil, coal and minerals"

In the future

CA to provide programs and services <u>only</u> if they are prescribed by regulation <u>and</u> meet any of the following descriptions:

- "risk of natural hazards"
- "conservation and management of lands owned or controlled by the authority..."
- "the authority's duties, functions and responsibilities as a source protection authority"

New Mandate Focus

The new mandate appears to focus on Source Water Protection and "Natural Hazards"

Prior to Bill 229

"*hazardous land*" defined as land that could be unsafe for development because of naturally occurring processes associated with flooding, erosion, dynamic beaches or unstable soil or bedrock.

Bill 229

"hazardous land" will be defined by regulation

"Municipal Programs"

Today and in the Future

The CA continues to provide Municipal programs and services that the authority agrees to provide on behalf of municipalities under a memorandum of understanding

Involvement at LPAT

- CA's will be excluded as a "Public Body" under the *Planning Act* except under certain circumstances
- As a result, CA's could not:
 - Appeal land use planning decisions; or
 - Act as a party to an appeal at the LPAT, except where the appeal relates to a prescribed natural hazard risk

Other Changes

- CA's will not be permitted to:
 - Charge a fee unless it is approved by Minister
 - Enter onto lands without the owner's consent
 - Expropriate

Conversation with Eldon

Thank you



Catherine Lyons Partner Goodmans LLP

clyons@goodmans.ca 416.597.4183