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ROUND TABLES COMMENTS WORDLE

This graphic represents the raw comments compiled from all three Round Table sessions. It shows both what defines Decatur and what participants hope for the future. The relative size of the word reflects the number of times it was mentioned.
## Community Takeaways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Academies Summary</th>
<th>What Did We Learn?</th>
<th>How Did This Shape The Plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Going Mobile</strong></td>
<td>• A connected transportation system is important&lt;br&gt;• Vehicular traffic is an ongoing concern&lt;br&gt;• A circulator shuttle could build on existing transit&lt;br&gt;• Parking is expensive to build and can sit unused</td>
<td>• New street and other connections could benefit Decatur and future growth&lt;br&gt;• Appropriate design can slow cars in a neighborhood-sensitive way&lt;br&gt;• Circulator viability study incorporated into recommended tasks&lt;br&gt;• Guided discussion toward ways to maximize use of existing parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decatur for Life</strong></td>
<td>• Decatur is aging and becoming less diverse&lt;br&gt;• Affordable and accessible housing types are limited&lt;br&gt;• Proximity and access to daily needs is crucial</td>
<td>• Diversity, housing, and affordability efforts will be key&lt;br&gt;• Code changes can help promote more variety and affordability&lt;br&gt;• Infill growth can help provide services near each resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The New Main Street</strong></td>
<td>• Property taxes fail disproportionately on residences&lt;br&gt;• Retail needs more population to be viable&lt;br&gt;• Quality of life can attract a niche of employers</td>
<td>• Infill development, annexation, and business recruitment may add balance&lt;br&gt;• Residential infill may help attract desired services&lt;br&gt;• Offices and non-traditional employers could be targeted to enhance the tax base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Getting The Love We Want</strong></td>
<td>• Commercial development including high density residential projects pays taxes but uses few services&lt;br&gt;• Community amenities can drive up development costs&lt;br&gt;• Design and transitions can allow appropriate infill</td>
<td>• Commercial and mixed-use residential developments may be targeted to increase the tax base&lt;br&gt;• Community desires should be balanced with development economics&lt;br&gt;• Standards could help ensure better context-sensitive infill development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parking Inventory and Policy Study

Parking Inventory and Policy Study (2009)

Masters’ thesis by a student at Georgia Tech

Four surveys were conducted to analyze existing parking facilities, conditions, needs and habits.

Current inventory:
• 300 on-street metered parking spaces
• 8,885 parking spaces within 70 parking lots
  At least 41 parking lots (3,412 spaces) are customer, employee and/or resident restricted

Recommendations from study consistent with previously adopted Community Transportation Plan
PRINCIPLE A: MANAGE GROWTH WHILE RETAINING CHARACTER

Accommodate commercial and residential growth while retaining Decatur’s unique sense of place within an urban environment.

GOAL 1: RETAIN AND ENHANCE THE CHARACTER OF EXISTING COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS AND EXPAND IT TO NEW DISTRICTS

Task 1A  Refine citywide commercial design standards

Design standards should be refined to support quality commercial and mixed-use growth. These should recognize the unique character and scale of Decatur’s neighborhoods and avoid one-size-fits-all approaches. Updated standards for utility burial, architectural design, and parking should be explored as part of these refinements.
PRINCIPLE C: SERVE AS GOOD STEWARDS OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES

Practice fiscal, environmental and organizational stewardship to make efficient use of finite resources through collaboration and conservation.

GOAL 9: EXPAND AND DIVERSIFY THE CITY’S RESOURCE BASE

Task 9C

Support the redevelopment of surface parking lots and underperforming properties in the Downtown and Oakhurst commercial districts, and the development of the former DeVry University Property and East Decatur Station area with a mix of uses that meet community needs and help accomplish the community’s vision.
PRINCIPLE D: SUPPORT A SAFE, HEALTHY, LIFELONG COMMUNITY

Assure that housing, mobility and support services exist to provide everyone at every age a high quality of life.

GOAL 14: ENHANCE MOBILITY OPTIONS WITHIN AND TO DECATUR

Task 14H

Update parking standards to allow innovative parking strategies, such as shared or unbundled parking, that create pedestrian friendly, environmentally sustainable and affordable developments.
Strategic Plan

PRINCIPLE D: SUPPORT A SAFE, HEALTHY, LIFELONG COMMUNITY

Assure that housing, mobility and support services exist to provide everyone at every age a high quality of life.

GOAL 15: EXPAND THE VARIETY OF HIGH QUALITY HOUSING OPTIONS TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A DIVERSE COMMUNITY

Task 15E

Support comprehensive efforts that go beyond the cost of rent and home price to keep Decatur affordable. The true cost of housing should also consider factors such as transportation, utility and maintenance costs. Strategies aimed at reducing car ownership and parking, increasing energy efficiency and buildings that are built to last can lower the cost of living.
Conceptual Growth Plan

DOWNTOWN DECATUR CONCEPTUAL GROWTH PLAN

This graphic is for illustrative purposes only. It is intended to show one possible option for long-term redevelopment of the downtown Decatur area. This assumes that any redevelopment will only occur when willing landowners sell sites to willing developers. Furthermore, all building locations and footprints are the artist’s interpretations.
Legal Considerations

No current legal restrictions (at the state level) on:

- Parking Maximums
- Shared Parking
- Unbundled Parking
Parking Maximums

Definition: Establishes Upper Limit on Parking Supply

Advantages:

• Increases Tax Revenue Through Redevelopment of Parking Lots
• Increases Availability of Affordable Housing

Drawbacks:

• Shortage of Spaces Leads to Spillover Effects
• May Create Competitive Disadvantage
Parking Maximums

Solutions:

• Use as Part of Overlay District
• Residential Permit Parking Program
• Charge for On-Street Parking
• Allow Parking Entitlements to be Transferable or Owners to Pay Fee in Lieu of Providing Parking

National Examples:

• Greater Boston Area – Minimums and Maximums
• Boston/Portland/Seattle/San Francisco – Freeze or Cap on Downtown Parking
• Ipswich, Mass.– No Parking Requirement for Developments in CBD
• Salem, Mass. – No Parking Requirement for Churches
Shared Parking

Definition: Parking Facility Serves Multiple Destinations & User Types

Advantages:
- Reduces overall level of spaces needed
- Promotes Sustainability

Drawbacks:
- Clash of Residential and Commercial Uses
- Agreements can be Limiting as Property Evolves
Shared Parking

Solutions:

- Avoid using for Residential Properties (or limit applicability)
- Build Flexibility into Agreements
- Make Ordinance Permissive instead of Mandatory

National Examples:

Greater Boston Area

- Allow Shared Parking for Uses with Different Peak Periods (Cut Required Minimums by Half)
- Provide Timetable of Parking Requirements by Use

Portland – Model Shared Parking Ordinance – Not Mandatory
(Shared Parking shall be considered when. . .)
Unbundled Parking

Definition: Separating Cost of Parking from Purchase Price of Property

Advantages:
• Reduction in Costs Results in More Affordable Housing
• Promotes Sustainability by Encouraging Car Ownership

Drawbacks:
• People Averse to “Paying” for Parking which leads to “Spillover Effects”
• Difficult to Implement in Commercial Contexts
Unbundled Parking

Solutions:
Prohibit overnight on-street parking or require residents to have permit to park

National Examples:
San Francisco – Limited parking in downtown neighborhoods to 0.75 spaces per residential unit

Mass. Transit-Oriented Development Bond Program – Unbundled parking and limited to 0.7 spaces per unit
Financial Considerations

Existing Parking Requirements
Stakeholder Interviews
Project Cost Savings
Existing Parking Requirements

Below is a table which reflects the current zoning requirements of the City of Decatur for new product introduced to the Planning Commission as found in Section 8.1 of the Decatur Zoning Ordinance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Required Parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>1 space per Dwelling Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouses/high density single-family dwellings</td>
<td>1.5 spaces per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High rise residential / RMH District</td>
<td>1 space per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Housing</td>
<td>1 space per 2.25 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Retail</td>
<td>1 space per 200 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General office including government</td>
<td>1 space per 400 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants</td>
<td>1 space per 100 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels and motels</td>
<td>1 space per 1.25 guestrooms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financial Stakeholders

- Interviews were conducted across stakeholders who finance commercial and residential applications to understand perspectives and implications to changes in zoning related to parking requirements (or changes to specific projects).

- Stakeholders include Lenders, Equity Investors, Developers, Leasing Brokers, Investment Sales Brokers and Operators.
Parking Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>M-F 8am-5pm</th>
<th>M-F 6pm-12am</th>
<th>M-F 12am-6am</th>
<th>Sat &amp; Sun 8am-5pm</th>
<th>Sat &amp; Sun 6pm-12am</th>
<th>Sat &amp; Sun 12am-6am</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Industrial</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie Theater</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference/Convention</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional (Non-church)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional (church)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Victoria Transport Policy Institute “Shared Parking”

Ex. 100,000SF Office (2.5 space/1000SF), 10,000 SF Retail (5.0 space/1000SF). Office – 250 spaces, Retail – 50 spaces

Required Spaces are 300, however, COMBINED DEMAND may imply 285 spaces.
Lenders

- **Wells Fargo**
  - National Commercial Lender
  - Parking requirements are assessed relative to comparable product in submarket.
  - Burden is on Developer to “Prove” parking sufficiency

- **State Bank**
  - Georgia bank focused on Atlanta Borrowers
  - Financing Decatur Holiday Inn.
  - Flexible to Shared Parking arrangements subject to submarket comparables

**Key: Parking relative to Comparables**
Equity

- **Selig Enterprises**
  - Local Atlanta based investor focused on Retail including Decatur CVS
  - Evaluate parking based on needs (and ability to rent to) Tenant
  - Support Shared Parking – potential cost reduction

- **Brookdale Group**
  - Southeastern real estate private equity group
  - Only invests where parking meets zoning requirements
  - Invested in other markets at 1.8 spaces/1000 SF (vs. 2.5)
  - Support for Shared Parking
Developers/Operators

- **Oakhurst Capital**
  - Acquirer and third party leasing agent of
    Decatur office and multi-family in
    Decatur
  - Indicated Shared Parking Opportunities
    available exist in Decatur (and
    referenced 10 year Strategic Plan
  - Decatur Renaissance – Redevelopment
    unsuccessful due to required parking
    minimums

- **LAZ Parking**
  - National Parking Operator which currently operates 6 decks in Decatur
  - Indicates Surplus of parking in Decatur --- no deck 60% occupied.
  - Office need only 2.0 spaces per 1,000 SF
  - Need improved Cohesive Messaging/Wayfinding Program

**Tremendous opportunity for shared parking**
Brokers/Marketing Groups

- **Cushman and Wakefield**
  - National real estate investment bank
  - Successfully sold Decatur Renaissance, Decatur Medical Plaza, One West Court Square
  - Huge Demand for Paid Parking
  - Emphasize need to flexibility to allow shared parking and reciprocal easement agreements to facilitate mixed use.

- **Eastdil Secured**
  - National real estate investment bank
  - Successfully markets shared parking or rights to offsite/ground leases.
  - Dependent on competitive properties and access to public transportation
  - Actual Utilization is justifies ultimate need.
  - Institutional Owners are more sensitive to variations from required zoning than Entrepreneurial Owners.

Investors Understand Shared Parking
## Potential Cost Savings

### Parking Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SF/Space</th>
<th>Cost/Space</th>
<th>Per Space</th>
<th>Soft Costs (a)</th>
<th>Total Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Curtainwall Skined Frame</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>47.15</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>$13,502</td>
<td>$30,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same as above, but only precast spandrels</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$12,342</td>
<td>$27,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast Deck w/ Architectural/Structured Spandrels</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>$115.00</td>
<td>$9,880</td>
<td>$21,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosed in Office Tower</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>$224.00</td>
<td>$23,564</td>
<td>$52,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta - Screened Openings and smaller site</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$168.00</td>
<td>$13,807</td>
<td>$30,682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) may vary due to land size

Assumed Total Construction Cost Per Space: **$30,000**

### Cost Savings - 20% Reduction/$30,000 per space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>SF/Units</th>
<th>Required Parking</th>
<th>Required Spaces</th>
<th>Spaces &quot;Saved&quot;</th>
<th>Estimated Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$480,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>SF/Units</th>
<th>Cost/SF or unit</th>
<th>Initial Cost</th>
<th>Cost Savings</th>
<th>% Savings of Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$12,500,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$480,000</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**20% Space Reduction = 2.4% to 15.0% Cost Reduction**
Observations

- Comparable submarket product determines Investor “Comfort”
- Investors understand Shared Parking and Reciprocal Easements/Off-site Parking
- Difficulty is **Actual Change** to submarket requirements.
Marketability Considerations

General Market Attitudes
Parking Maximums
Shared Parking
Unbundled Parking
Marketability Considerations

Parking Maximums

Reduce future flexibility
End user drives quantity
Marketability Considerations

Shared Parking

Easier to implement in theory than in practice

Additional legal documents (condo docs)
Unbundled Parking

Theoretical savings for affordable housing

Lack of parking at end of sales/lease-up
Sustainability Considerations

Positive Environmental Impacts

- Decreased costs (site work)
- Minimized energy consumption (utility bills)
- Reduced need for storm water management
- Encouraged use of alternative transportation

*Savings can offset greening costs*
Sustainability Considerations

Recommended Features

• Close to pedestrian paths/transportation stops
• Green roofs
• Permeable pavements or pavers
• High albedo paving materials
• Preferred parking for LEVs and carpools
• LED Lighting
• Rainwater Collection
Sustainability Considerations

**Costs:**

Great variability

Generally 1-2% premium (LEED Certified/Silver)

Cost ranges:

- Green Roof - $15 - $40/SF ($75 - $200k)
- Rainwater collection - $15 - $30K/system
- Photovoltaics - $7,500/module
- LED lighting - $50-$250/fixture kit
Sustainability Considerations

Examples

Regent Partners – Oakland Park Project ($15/SF)

Duke University – First LEED Certified Parking Deck
- Previously developed site
- Rainwater collection cisterns
- Rain gardens
- LED lighting
- Recycled Materials
Conclusions/Recommendations

1. No legal challenges at State level

2. Zoning Changes
   - Parking Maximums: establish in conjunction with parking overlay district
   - Shared Parking: add to zoning code as a suggestion and not a mandate
   - Unbundled Parking: add to zoning code as a mechanism for affordable housing

3. Maximum/Shared/Unbundled promotes sustainability and smart land use
Conclusions/Recommendations

4. Maintain flexibility for future developers/owners (ex: Parking Banks, Parking Overlay Zones, Fees in Lieu)

5. City of Decatur – right characteristics to maximize parking across uses. (Walkability, Public Transportation, Proximity to higher density)

6. Financial Stakeholders flexible/adaptive to changes in parking minimums.

Q&A

Image: A sign in a park reads, "UNLAWFUL TO PARK WAGON OR BUGGIES AROUND THIS SQUARE."