

DUBLIN California

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PANEL



Dublin, California April 17–18, 2018

©2018 by the Urban Land Institute. All rights reserved. Reproduction or use of the whole or any part of the contents of this publication without written permission of the copyright holder is prohibited.



San Francisco Serving the Greater Bay Area

ULI SAN FRANCISCO

I California Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94111 (628) 231-2197 ULISanFrancisco@uli.org www.sf.uli.org www.uli.org

About ULI

The Urban Land Institute is a global, member-driven organization comprising more than 40,000 real estate and urban development professionals dedicated to advancing the Institute's mission of providing leadership in the responsible use of land and creating and sustaining thriving communities worldwide.

ULI's interdisciplinary membership represents all aspects of the industry, including developers, property owners, investors, architects, urban planners, public officials, real estate brokers, appraisers, attorneys, engineers, financiers, and academics. Established in 1936, the Institute has a presence in the Americas, Europe, and Asia Pacific regions, with members in 76 countries, including over 2,200 in the ULI San Francisco (ULI SF) district council (sf.uli.org). ULI San Francisco serves the Greater Bay Area with pragmatic land use expertise and education.

About ULI TAPs

The ULI San Francisco Technical Assistance Panel (TAP) Program is an extension of the national ULI Advisory Services program. ULI's Advisory Services panels provide strategic advice to clients (public agencies, nonprofit organizations, or nonprofit developers) on complex land use and real estate development issues. The program links clients to the knowledge and experience of ULI and its membership.

Since 1947, ULI has harnessed the technical expertise of its members to help communities solve difficult land use, development, and redevelopment challenges. More than 600 panels have been conducted in 12 countries. Since 1996, ULI San Francisco has adapted this model for use at the local level, assisting over 30 Bay Area cities.

TAPs include extensive preliminary briefings followed by a one-anda-half-day intensive working session in the client's community. A detailed briefing package and guided discussion is provided by the client to each TAP participant before the working sessions. In the working sessions, ULI's expert panelists tour the study area either by bus or on foot, interview stakeholders, and address a set of questions proposed by the client about a specific development issue or policy barrier within a defined geographic area. The product of these sessions is a community presentation and final report. This report presents highlights of the panel's responses to the client's questions as well as contains a diverse set of ideas and suggestions.

CONTENTS

IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS				
CONTEXT				
TEAM ASSIGNMENT AND PROCESS				
STAKEHOLDERS				
CITY QUESTIONS				
STAKEHOLDER INPUT: WHAT THE PANEL HEARD THEMES SWOT ANALYSIS REGIONAL MARKET CONTEXT	8 8 9 11			
RESPONSE TO THE CITY'S QUESTIONS SENSE OF PLACE CC&RS AND EASEMENTS HOUSING PARKING INVESTMENT	2 2 4 5 6 6			
BIG IDEAS				
EXAMPLES OF SUCCESSFUL PLACEMAKING				
TAP PANEL PARTICIPANTS				



City of Dublin Technical Assistance Panel

Panel Chair

Bob Burke, Greenheart Land Company, Principal

Panel Members

Christina Briggs, Economic Development, Deputy Director, City of Fremont

Christine Firstenberg, Principal, Retail Real Estate Resources

Jane Lin, Partner, Urban Field Studio

Ken Lowney, President and CEO, Lowney Architects

Ben Sigman, Principal, Economic and Planning Systems

Rae Smith, Senior Planner and Urban Designer, HOK (TAP Writer)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

The focus of this technical assistance panel (TAP) is the City of Dublin's Downtown retail district, located in the northwest quadrant of the I-580 and I-680 interstate highway interchange. Both it and the transit oriented development (TOD) zone are addressed by the Downtown Dublin Specific Plan (DDSP). The City's vision for Downtown Dublin states that "Downtown Dublin will be a vibrant and dynamic commercial and mixeduse center that provides a wide array of opportunities for shopping services, dining, working, living, and entertainment in a pedestrian-friendly and aesthetically pleasing setting that attracts both local and regional residents." Based on this vision, the retail district offers many exciting opportunities to improve dining, shopping, and entertainment within a thriving, mixed-use district.

At the end of the two-day TAP process the panelists recommended the following phased steps to move forward with the revitalization of the Dublin retail district, which could take place over the next two years or three to five years. The elements in these lists are in no particular order.

Short Term (one to two years):

- Shift housing units from TOD to retail district to allow more residential units and "feet on the ground."
- Hire a consultant or have staff research and develop a space activation plan for pop-up spaces and events along high-visibility areas of the district.
- Review the Downtown Dublin Specific Plan for potential updates to increase developer clarity regarding the city's goals for the retail district.
- Initiate a CC&R working group and hire a retail consultant to help the city select and hire a lawyer to begin untangling the CC&Rs in order to allow densification and intensification of the district's uses, particularly those adjacent to the BART station.

Intermediate Term (three to five years):

- Acquire land to develop a public town square as an identity marker and public gathering place for the retail district.
- Analyze infrastructure needs in the district, including sewer lines, water lines, and parking to ensure that the area is optimized for redevelopment.
- Attract and develop residential units in the retail district.
- Continue citywide economic development activities to promote the retail district within the region.

Reprint State and residential park, San Francisco, concept by David Baker & Associates 🕈 🕷



🔻 Rockville Town Square and residential development, Rockville, Maryland²



Sunnyvale Town Square and retail development, concept by Sand Hill Property Company ³



🔻 Alpharetta Town Square and entertainment venue, Alpharetta, Georgia⁴



CONTEXT

Dublin, California, is a suburban city in the eastern region of the San Francisco Bay Area and Tri-Valley region of Alameda County. It is located at the intersection of Interstates 680 and 580, with San Ramon to the north, Pleasanton to the south, Castro Valley to the west, and Livermore to the east.

Dublin's population is growing. Contained within an area of about 14.2 square miles, Dublin has been the second-fastest growing city of its size in California for the past five years, with its population doubling in the past decade to about 60,000.¹ Median household income grew from \$118,773 to \$128,403, an 8.1 percent increase, during the same period. The ethnicity of Dublin's population is 41.2 percent white, 36.6 percent Asian, and 10.1 percent Hispanic. About 40 percent of the residents speak a second, non-English, language, and 85.5 percent are U.S. citizens.²

Dublin extends north of I-580 in a largely linear manner. Its western-most extension contains a semi-undeveloped ridge with single family developments north of I-580 and mostly single-family neighborhoods along I-680. Dublin is fortunate to have multiple recreational trails and multipurpose paths,

including Iron Horse Trail, Tassajara Creek trail, Martin Canyon Creek trail, Alamo Canal trail and Dougherty Road Bike Path.

Much of Dublin's land use pattern can be traced to its highway exposure, which has led to automobile-oriented land uses and suburban development. Because of that development pattern and freeway access, most trips in Dublin are made by privately owned cars, and expectations of car access and parking in the area are associated with this suburban scale and mind-set.

Similarly, most of the residential development in the city is in the form of suburban tract housing, with schools, parks, and commercial uses along major arterials with the notable and recent exception of new apartment communities within the transit-oriented district (TOD) zones. The median sale price for single family homes is \$1,100,000³. The median sale price for condominiums and townhomes in Dublin is \$770,000⁴ and the homeownership rate is 65.4 percent⁵.

Even given its largely automobile-oriented nature, Dublin is very accessible by Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), which connects commuters to jobs that are mostly located elsewhere in the

5 "Dublin, CA," DATA USA, https://datausa.io/profile/geo/dublin-ca/



Regional Specific Plan aerial map

I Kuczynski, Doug / Sharygin, Ethan."New demographic report shows California population nearing 40 million mark with growth of 309,000 in 2017," California Department of Finance, http://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/E-1/documents/E-1_2018PressRelease.pdf

^{2 &}quot;Dublin, CA," DATA USA, https://datausa.io/profile/geo/dublin-ca/

^{3 &}quot;Dublin: Detached Single-Family Homes," BAYEAST, https://bayeast.org/bayeast/uploads/ dublin_detached.pdf

^{4 &}quot;Dublin: Condominiums & Townhomes," BAYEAST, https://bayeast.org/bayeast/uploads/ dublin_attached.pdf

region. The City has the benefit of two BART stations within its limits—Dublin/Pleasanton and West Dublin/Pleasanton. At the time of the writing of this report, the Tri-Valley San Joaquin Valley Regional Rail Authority is studying a planned extension to Livermore and regional rail connections between BART and the Altamont Corridor Express (ACE)⁶.

The future of transportation is also present in Dublin, where the

city hosts shared autonomous vehicle (SAV) testing (by the Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority [Wheels]) on public roads.The vision is for SAV to provide first- and last-mile service to public transportation, including the BART system and bus rapid transit (BRT) system.



FIGURE 2: Specific Plan districts



🔻 Dublin Boulevard, looking west



Reador Valley Boulevard, looking east





TEAM ASSIGNMENT AND PROCESS

The ULITAP panel was made up of real estate and development professionals representing a variety of disciplines and bringing a range of perspectives to the assignment, including market potential; land use and design; finance and development strategies; and governance, policy and implementation, setting the stage for an interdisciplinary approach and discussion.

To kick off the two-day TAP process, the panel first took a tour of the downtown retail district and TAP study area, which is north of Dublin Boulevard from the TOD district and west of I-680 and includes largely the parcels that border each side of Amador Valley Boulevard and San Ramon Road.

The site is currently used by a number of big-box retail stores, associated pad retail sites, and vast surface parking lots. At the time of the tour, some of the stores were out of business and vacant, some new retail pad developments had recently been completed or were under construction, and others have been recently refreshed with facade improvements, lending a diverse character and sense of transition to the retail environment.

After the site tour, the panel asked stakeholders a series of questions in order to better understand the opportunities on site and the potential catalysts for a new era for downtown Dublin. Each stakeholder told the panel about themselves, as well as the organization or business they represented as it related to Downtown Dublin, and then the panel asked them the following list of questions:

- I. What area do you consider to be "Downtown" Dublin?
- 2. What are the three things that concern you most about Downtown Dublin's retail district?
- 3. If you could create a single focus in the Downtown retail district, what would it be?
- 4. What three specific changes would you like to see in the Downtown retail district?
- 5. Where should the city focus its efforts to help the Downtown retail district thrive and become a place where residents walk, shop, eat, drink, and relax?

The intent was for the panel to learn each stakeholder's vision and how the retail district could help achieve that vision.

The inclusiveness of this approach led to a general understanding that the city and its residents do not currently view the retail district as the location of its downtown, and that components of both the TOD and Village Parkway have more elements of a traditional "Main Street" and the more intense development commonly associated with downtowns. The panelists then set about determining a path forward, based on their collective professional experience, in order to redevelop the retail district into a focal point for Dublin.



TAP panelists tour the site, April 2018

STAKEHOLDERS

TAP panel members received feedback and ideas for the site from a group of interested stakeholders that included more than 20 community members. These stakeholders represented both public and private interests, including Dublin public officials and staff, property owners and managers, housing developers, business owners, and the Chamber of Commerce. Each stakeholder group shared its specific concerns, framed by professional background and industry experience.

Stakeholders interviewed included:

CITY OFFICIALS

- David Haubert, Mayor
- Melissa Hernandez, Vice Mayor
- Abe Gupta, Council Member
- Janine Thalblum, Council Member
- Tara Bhuthimethee, Planning Commissioner

CITY STAFF

- Luke Sims, Community Development Director
- Jeff Baker, Assistant Community Development Director
- Amy Millon, Principal Planner
- Hazel Wetherford, Assistant to the City Manager

DUBLIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Brad Johnson, President

TAP panelists tour the site, April 2018



PROPERTY OWNERS/MANAGERS

- Mark Tekin, property owner, Tekin & Associates LLC, representing, Amador Corners in the Dublin Place shopping center
- Vic DeMelo, property owner, Browman Development, representing CVS parcel in Ranch 99 Market shopping center
- John Ortesi, property manager, Village Square shopping center
- Paul Chahin, property manager, Enea Plaza shopping center
- Frank Sana, property owner, Baskin Robbins parcel in Ranch 99 Market shopping center
- Ellie Lange, property owner, various properties
- Diane Scott, Senior Vice President, American Realty, Dublin Place shopping center

BUSINESS OWNERS

- Myly Carpio, manager, Amakara Restaurant, representing the owner
- David Ching, Baskin Robbins

DEVELOPERS

- Linda Mandolini, President, Eden Housing
- Garrett Hinds, Director of Architecture, the Perch
 residential project

Recouncil member Abe Gupta tours the site area, April 2018



CITY QUESTIONS

Dublin undertook the TAP process with ULI San Francisco (ULI SF) to examine a path toward achieving a unified, mixed-use retail downtown district as part of a vibrant, well-balanced, and amenity-rich community.

With the physical improvements made in the TOD zone of the DDSP area, the City is now considering improvements to the retail district. Dublin asked the panelists to use their expertise in retail and mixed-use planning, architecture, development, and entitlements to help address the following questions:

- One of the major hurdles in transitioning the downtown area is to create a sense of place. Do you have any recommendations on ways in which we can create a sense of place, either utilizing the City's reserves or leveraging other opportunities?
- The Downtown retail district is composed of several property owners, many of which abide by extremely dated covenants, conditions, and restrictions (CC&Rs) that limit the ability to see the Downtown vision become reality. Do you have any recommendations for the City on how to untangle the CC&Rs?

- The City utilizes an innovative Development Pool program to allocate housing units to specific districts, including up to 400 units in the retail district. To date, 95 of those units have been allocated. Do you have any specific recommendations on where we should focus the remaining units in the pool?
- Would the TAP recommend that the City replicate the 2012 Village Parking Reduction Program in other downtown districts or the entire DDSP area, creating flexibility in the city's parking requirements to allow for a greater variety in tenants?
- What is the best way for the City to invest its \$2 million in reserves to make the greatest economic and physical impact on the Downtown retail district?

Resisting development within the retail district









STAKEHOLDER INPUT: WHAT THE PANEL HEARD

THEMES

Several themes emerged from the stakeholder interviews, with all individuals agreeing that a collective vision for the retail district could address some of the current challenges facing the effort to create a sense of a focused downtown for Dublin. Those themes included:

SOCIAL HEART/FOCUSED INTENSITY

- Desire for a strong city of Dublin identity and vibrant sense of place.
- Desire for a focal point or an anchor that gives people a reason to come to the district.
- Developer and property owners' concerns about spreading retail too thin and diluting its placemaking power; they would like ULI to determine where retail space is most appropriate and have the council concentrate its retail capture efforts there.
- A way to work with existing assets to create the feel of a downtown, without attempting to re-create a traditional downtown or main street environment.
- Concern about the lack of focus and empty anchor tenants within the district, which has led to reduced foot traffic.

MIX OF USES

- The need for additional housing, noted as important to get more people into the retail district, because local residences would both add a captive market and increase property values, potentially incentivizing redevelopment.
- Desire to make the area more bicycle and pedestrian friendly for retail customers, local residents, and office employees while having a place for events and more entertainment and food and beverage establishments.
- Good existing diversity in the retail market base; tenants and residents who live in the area and shop here.

Real point or district anchor



IMPROVED DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

- Property owners who are eager for a consolidated vision from the city on the retail district in order to set a degree of certainty for future development.
- Concern over development restrictions and prohibited uses in shopping center covenants, conditions and restrictions (CC&Rs).
- Developer and property owner concerns about antigrowth "NIMBYism" (not in my back yard) protests by residents that would prevent strongly needed housing.
- Constituents who are aspirational in their economic vision and desire sought-after retail, restaurant, and entertainment tenants.
- The city's need to investigate the capacity and age of the current infrastructure, as well as how to resolve out-of-date and complex easements and restrictions, rather than rely on individual property owners to solve these issues.
- Developer and property owners' desire for financial incentives to assist with the costs of redevelopment.

Realized and use - 'big box' retail



🔻 Vacant anchor tenant



SWOT ANALYSIS

As a way to assess the current landscape, the panelists began to discern the issues and potential futures on the site by going through a traditional strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) analysis. In this context, strengths and weaknesses describe existing conditions, and opportunities and threats address potential future conditions.

STRENGTHS

- West Dublin/Pleasanton BART station is a quarter of a mile away
- Auto access (I-680 and I-580 as well as multiple arterial streets)
- Regional draw of big-box retail
- Large parcels with redevelopment potential
- Great knowledgeable city staff
- Strong, high-sales retail tenants in the area
- Development-friendly city
- Excellent demographics

WEAKNESSES

- Growing negative perceptions of residential growth by residents/NIMBYism
- Complex CC&Rs and easements on many of the properties
- Lack of a sense of place; no identifiable gathering area or focal point
- Lack of connectivity; not pedestrian friendly or bike
 oriented
- High-volume streets feel unsafe to pedestrians and bicyclists
- Surface parking exceeds demand, creating vacant asphalt areas
- Budget-oriented retailers and community retail expectations are misaligned
- Existing regional retail is not as aspirational as residents desire; they want the look and feel of upscale retail offerings, but not the price point
- Multiple, bifurcated retail districts in Dublin limit the ability to attract critical retail tenants to the retail district
- Multiple ownership: goals may not be aligned among diverse property owners—mom-and-pops to international retail REITs

Strength: West Dublin BART station



Reakness: Abandoned retail tenant





OPPORTUNITIES

- Aspirational mind-set of residents and council members
- Potential for a town square or other public space on vacant parking areas
- Potential for community programming—events and pop-ups
- City's excellent financial reserves
- New and different community entertainment uses
- Surplus parking spaces

THREATS

- Rapidly changing retail market nationwide, with big-box retail demand decreasing
- Property ownership in retail district by a series of different owners that may not want to do the same thing
- High development impact fees, as well as very high sewer and water connection fees
- Unmotivated ownership of retail buildings
- Increase in no-growth NIMBY mind-set among residents
- Growth of local competitive retail landscape in east
 Dublin

Representation of the second s



Threat: Too much surface parking



REGIONAL MARKET CONTEXT

The Bay Area finds itself in a very particular and unique set of circumstances with regard to regional economics. The following is a series of trends and conditions that relate to the specific development context that Dublin's retail district finds itself in.

REGIONAL MARKET FORCES

While San Francisco and Silicon Valley have been the Bay Area's epicenters of real estate investment in recent years, the East Bay has also enjoyed a building boom. With the strong economy and new development, there have been some growing pains in the region. Traffic throughout the Bay Area has worsened, resulting in increased interest in transit-oriented development sites.

GROWTH TRENDS

Dublin and the Tri-Valley have evolved dramatically since the early 2000s: since 2001 the population of Dublin has grown by over 70 percent. However, job growth has not kept pace, with total job counts about the same today as nearly 20 years ago. Nonetheless, Dublin has recovered from the 2008–2009 recession, with job growth topping 30 percent since 2010.

DOWNTOWN DUBLIN

Despite the lack of a historic downtown, Dublin has successfully organized planned development around its western BART station. New multifamily residential projects (Connolly Station, Aster, and Valor Crossing) along Golden Gate Drive, along with extensive streetscape improvements implemented by the city, have transformed this sub-area. While there has been some investment north of Dublin Boulevard (Perch, Habit Burger, REI), the suburban retail fabric there remains largely unchanged since the 1970s.

RETAIL REVOLUTION

The retail real estate industry is changing rapidly. After the 2008 recession, consumers altered their spending habits. Shifting spending patterns and competition from online retail have resulted in sustained demand for either luxury or value-oriented retail real estate, with internet purchases now capturing a growing share of midmarket retail sales. In general, successful retail landlords have helped evolve tenant mixes and formats to cater to luxury or value consumers. Downtown Dublin retail remains viable, with bargain retailers such as Target, Burlington Coat Factory, and Savers seeing high-volume sales.

HOUSING CRISIS

Although Dublin historically has supported housing development, the ongoing economic expansion in the Bay Area has intensified demand for housing at all price points. The regional housing shortfall has resulted in dramatic price escalation, and housing affordability challenges continue to be amplified. This comes at a time when Dublin's appetite for continued housing development appears to be waning. Like most other communities around the Bay, the city's residents are demanding increased scrutiny of new development projects, as evidenced by recent Planning Commission actions.





RESPONSE TO THE CITY'S QUESTIONS

Taking the regional market context and Dublin's growth and demographic changes into consideration, the TAP members investigated the potential for transforming one of the city's key retail districts from a more traditional, automobile-oriented, bigbox retail zone into a more densely developed, walkable district.

After its site tour and stakeholder interviews, the panel identified responses to the city's questions about the retail district in order to help guide the city toward creation of a united district vision for the area's property owners, residents, and businesses. The panelists reordered the questions as they unpacked the issues and discussed recommendations for first steps to enhance and revitalize the retail district.

* FIGURE 4: Identity "gateways"



SENSE OF PLACE

One of the major hurdles in transitioning the downtown area is to create a sense of place. Do you have any recommendations on ways in which we can create a sense of place, either utilizing the city's reserves or leveraging other opportunities?

This question gets to the heart of "What is unique about Dublin?" The existing development has a fragmented identity and lacks a sense of place—a clear marker identifying what is unique about Dublin. The buildings are set back from the street, are behind a disorienting field of surface parking, and do not frame a central gathering point. Further confusing the legibility of the place, there is no pedestrian-oriented, publicly accessible destination. Instead, the parking of vehicles takes both visual and physical priority.

The creation of a focal point, such as a public green or town square, would establish a clearly identifiable core to the district around which new development could be created. This focal point would be in addition to the Downtown Dublin Streetscape Plan currently underway at the time of the writing of this report, which is intended to strengthen the identity of Downtown through a unified streetscape and identity program.

The panel believes that in order for a public square to serve as both a destination and an organizing element, its preferred location would be at the end of Golden Gate Drive across Dublin Boulevard, establishing a visual connection to BART. An alternative location might be in the area of existing retail development fronting Amador Plaza Road, where existing CC&Rs allow more growth and change to existing development, and where a quality pedestrian experience already exists. Either way, capturing a sense of urbanism would begin to answer the question, "Where is Dublin's downtown?" by creating a vibrant, urban pocket of activity in Dublin.

Regional Street Street and Street Str



However, the land procurement, design, and development of a new city park or public space takes time. So, in the interim, the panel suggests that the city negotiate an agreement with a property owner to set up a series of temporary uses and events as a way to kick-start the identification of this area by residents as the city's downtown. One benefit of temporary or other short-term uses is the immediate activation of a space at a low cost and the ability to test alternative uses or programs against resident interest and demand for more permanent uses. Temporary uses might include elements like seating around fire pits or beer gardens, pop-up retail spaces, and/or parklet-style green spaces for use by children and pets and for socializing or games. The energy created by these experiments could translate into more permanent development in the future. A successful example of this approach is Social Spark in San Francisco.

Longer term, creating a sense of place will largely center on building a permanent public feature as a gathering space or "town square" around which infill development could create a more experiential urban environment with a vertical mix of uses, including residential development above ground-floor food and beverage businesses. This town square would support the retail district and compliment the city by doing the following:

- Take advantage of the site's proximity to the BART station and support up-zoning portions of the district closest to transit.
- Add housing via densification and infill development, particularly along Dublin Boulevard.
- Secure the development of permanent entertainment facilities in the district—which could include mini-golf, an arcade, or a premium theatre—in order to better establish a permanent sense of place in downtown Dublin.

Rotes taken during TAP panel

Sense of Place - Alby - Golden Gate / Dublin Blvd - Amador Playa Rd/Dubhin Blvd - Public Gathering" Square" - Events-coffeed cars Strategic Vision Long Torm : Housing More densification & infill - take advantage of BART -upzone talment Theater, premium: theater & seat side as an anchor tomant

STRATEGIES FOR CREATING A SENSE OF PLACE COULD INCLUDE:

• Short term (one to two years):

- o City-supported programming and temporary uses in the district that will inspire public gathering.
- A focus on public realm improvements, such as branding and wayfinding, at key intersections to serve as "identity gateways."
- Long term (two-plus years):
 - o Development of a permanent public gathering space—a town square—with a recommended minimum size of a half acre.

***** TEMPORARY USES CREATE AN EARLY SENSE OF PLACE WITHIN A DISTRICT.





The Lawn on D, Boston, Massachusetts¹⁰



Catalina Island, Avalon, Califonia¹¹



Pop-up park at the Oval, Philadelphia¹²



CC&RS AND EASEMENTS

The downtown retail district is composed of several property owners, many of which abide by extremely dated covenants, conditions, and restrictions (CC&Rs) and easements that limit the city's ability to see the downtown vision become reality. Do you have any recommendations for the city on how to untangle the CC&Rs?

Rotes taken during TAP panel

- to allow events, floor trucks - to allow residential? - prods on Regional difficult to add residential? - alcohol use CC&Rs not only describe what property owners can do, but also what they cannot do. Currently, the CC&Rs on site prohibit densification, including housing; set limits on future uses, including food trucks and events; prohibit development along the out parcel or urban street edges; and restrict leases for more diverse tenants. The panel believes that untangling the CC&Rs could constitute both a short-term win and, if set up properly, allow for a longer-term redevelopment vision.

In the short term, the panel recommends that the city work with the property owners by first hiring a retail consultant who can work with the City and its attorneys who specialize in complex retail CC&Rs and easements. This will help ensure that the CC&R untangling proposals will not hurt the retailers and will allow the retail property owners to actually benefit from a successful redevelopment made possible by the new CC&Rs and easements.

The lawyer/retail specialist team can assist in negotiations with retailers and property owners to advance an outcome that will benefit both the city and the property owners. This negotiation process is most commonly seen around the United States in the aging mall properties that are undergoing revitalization. The immediate goal would be to rewrite the CC&Rs so that redevelopment can begin to take place under current market conditions. The panelists believe that market conditions today support redevelopment through densification, including development of additional residential space and/or second-floor, small-footprint office space.

* FIGURE 6: Parcel map of 99 Ranch Market shopping center and Dublin Place shopping center



99 Ranch Market Shopping Center

- (Blue parcels)
- I. EPE Dev. (Fastsigns)
 Sandelman (Ranch 99)
 Tsai (shops)
 Browman (CVS, etc.)
 Cortana (Savers & Sports Authority)
 Wells Fargo
 Kumar (Citibank)
 Valero

City of Dublin Parcels

- (Black parcels)
 - 9. Dublin Senior Center
 - 10. Wicklow Square Senior Housing

Dublin Place Shopping Center

- II.ASVRF (Grocery Outlet)
- 12. EvCap Amador Plaza & TA Amador Plaza (new restaurant pad & retail approved)
- 13. ASVRF (Target)
- 14. ASVRF (Hobby Lobby)
- 15. Burlington (BCF, ToysRUs and Bassett)
- 16. Nunberg (PetSmart)
- 17. ASVRF (new restaurant pad & retail approved former Coco's)

HOUSING

The City utilizes the innovative Development Pool program to allocate housing units to specific districts, including up to 400 units in the retail district. To date, 95 of those units have been allocated. Do you have any specific recommendations on where we should focus the remaining units in the pool?

Recognizing housing as a primary value driver in this district, the panelists recommend that the city consider adding more residential space to the retail district in order to incentivize additional investment in this transit-proximate area. Adding as many units as is feasible—at least 500 to 750 units in areas nearest the BART stations and the TOD zone, particularly along Dublin Boulevard and at the intersection of Golden Gate Drive and Dublin Boulevard—will mean more people within walking distance of the retail district, a key factor in nationally recognized examples of retailer success. In sum, sufficient housing density is needed to create the land values that will motivate landowners to invest and redevelop.

Focusing this housing within a half-mile radius of the BART stations is the simplest way to deliver a development product with high market demand. Based on feedback from the stakeholder interviews, the panelists believe that the city can maximize value by encouraging construction of smaller multifamily units, with fewer bedrooms, with very little burden on schools by aiming new development at young professional commuters who prefer denser, more walkable environments adjacent to transit. There are many examples of this building type within the Bay Area that have had a positive impact on their respective cities. If the city is able to develop a permanent town square space, establishing the appropriate regulatory conditions for housing development in this area will further establish a focused sense of place and a more walkable district. Designing for housing in this area will encourage transit use and leverage existing city infrastructure and assets toward promoting the area's highest and best uses. This will support the city in providing community benefits for the residents of Dublin while creating attractive investment opportunities for any future development partners.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN ADJACENT TOD DISTRICT

Connolly Station apartments13

Aster apartments





FIGURE 7: New housing development could line Dublin Boulevard and/or town square.



Notes taken during TAP panel



PARKING

Would the TAP recommend that the city replicate the 2012 Village Parkway Parking Reduction Program in other downtown districts or the entire DDSP area, creating flexibility in the city's parking requirements to allow for a greater variety in tenants?

In 2012, the City Council approved the Village Parkway Parking Reduction Program, which created a pilot parking reduction program in the Village Parkway District. The program allows parking supply and demand to be managed by the property owners and tenants, and not regulated by the City. The goal of the program was to enable a wider variety of businesses to be established in the Village Parkway District without the encumbrance of parking requirements. In 2014, the City Council approved extending the program for an additional five years.

The panelists agreed that expanding the pilot program to both the retail district and all of downtown would be a positive element that could provide certainty for future development proposals. The panelists also recommended that the city hire a parking management consultant to analyze paid parking and meters, particularly near the BART stations, to discourage day parking in on-street spaces and potentially allow on-street parking during off-peak times along Dublin Boulevard's third lane.

Because needs are changing, any parking program and associated standards should be written in a way that is flexible. Long-term prospects for demand for large amounts of parking, including both garages and surface lots, are uncertain. City staff should continue to identify opportunities for decreased parking standards, including shared parking, as expectations for parking for individually owned vehicles, automated vehicle drop-off as a service, and other transportation modes shift in the future.

Rotes taken during TAP panel

Farking parking management consultant Restrict Parking@ BART - continue 1/300st ratio munimum - market driven parking demand structured parking? NOUFlop parking? times on Dublin Blog. on third lane - decrease parking standards as we change modes in the future...

INVESTMENT

What is the best way for the city to invest its \$2 million in reserves to make the greatest economic and physical impact on the downtown retail district?

Dublin's fiscal reserves provide an immediate opportunity for the city to achieve some of the shorter-term or easier-toreach goals set forth in this report. The following is a list, by priority, of action items that the panelists believe would help place the retail district in the best light to attract collaborative development partners for its repositioning and revitalization near the BART stations.

ACTIVATE PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SPACE WITH CITY-SPONSORED POP-UP-STYLE EVENTS AND PROGRAMMING

Most immediately, the city could consider investing in events programming to establish a sense of place. This programming might include events like "First Friday" walks and farmers markets, or play/bike/stroll festival spaces. Communities all over the world have found great success in creating temporary but high-impact spaces focused on food, culture, or arts in order to shape community experience and impressions. These spaces allow cities to create a "there" there before one really exists. As a first investment, a well-programmed pop-up space would begin to establish a focal point through color, materials, or objects; build local awareness and presence; and encourage would-be entrepreneurs to test ideas in the marketplace.

Rotes taken during TAP panel

SZM goesto... s utilities * Attracting -> fees for water > non profit purchase & improve & pathor or dedication + space activation/events - offsite improvements & reducing barriers lover burden - Wine bar!

INVEST IN A PERMANENT TOWN SQUARE, EITHER THROUGH PURCHASE AND PARTNERING OR THROUGH PUBLIC EASEMENT DEDICATION

Building on the idea of the pop-up space and allowing for a longer timeline, a permanent town square could establish a local social heart within the retail district, provide a prime office and/ or residential address, and enhance the site's value and future development potential. Suggested to be at least a half acre in size, the town square would likely best serve Dublin by being on axis with the BART station at the end of Golden Gate Drive in order to create a visual anchor and a transit-oriented, walkable destination. The town square would then become the permanent location for community-hosted movie nights, afternoon music performances, and holiday events, as well as serve as the local destination for informal experiences like meeting for coffee or walking the dog.

INVEST IN OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS TO IMPROVE WAYFINDING AND BRANDING

Within the context of Dublin's downtown, reinforcing attractive landscapes, signs, and branding along corridors will both advance the city's economic development strategy and make rights of way and key nodes more beautiful and more pleasant to travel. The use of branded signs at highway on- and off-ramps, at key entry corridors to BART stations, and along arterials will help direct people to the downtown retail district and town square. Other strategies include continuing to invest in streetscape improvements to enhance walkability between BART stations and the retail district and investing in public art and gateway entry landscaping to designate arrival at a "place."

***** TWO EXAMPLES OF TOWN SQUARE DEVELOPMENTS

Parson's Alley, Duluth, Georgia 14



Town Fair Plaza, Fremont, California 15



* THREE EXAMPLES OF SIGNAGE AND STREETSCAPES TO ENHANCE WALKABILITY

Temporary pedestrian wayfinding in Rochester, New York¹⁶





Northeast 61st Avenue, Hillsboro, Oregon¹⁸



ATTRACT NEW RESTAURANTS, UPDATE CITY CODES, AND LOWER SEWER AND WATER CONNECTION FEES

During the stakeholder interviews, the panelists heard that new restaurants are desired in the downtown area by constituents. Retail and restaurants are an essential and vibrant part of any mixed-use district. Finding a way to reduce the burden of opening a restaurant in the retail district could be a way in which the city invests in the district's success. Two strategies could support the proper mix of uses within the district:

I) Care should be given to the city's planning and design codes so that retail spaces are designed and built to be suitable for lease to retailers and restaurants. Retail space can end up unleasable due to improper design elements that make the space physically obsolete. For example, retail shop spaces need to have high ceilings, spaces with depths of 50 to 65 feet, and have access to hot/cold water and service. Also, retail and restaurant space in vertical mixed-use projects do not generate as much value as the above-ground-level residential component. Therefore, codes should reflect market conditions to maximize inclusion of residential units and flexibly incorporate an appropriate amount of ground-floor retail or restaurant space.

2) From the point of view of existing restaurateurs, area water and sewer connection fees are particularly high, limiting the plans of many would-be entrepreneurs. Thought should be given to coordinating or encouraging the water/sewer districts to reduce or eliminate their connection fees within the mixed-use districts. This will encourage the right uses in the right areas for the creation of a mixed-use district that will withstand the ups and downs of any economy.

DRAFT DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS ALONG AMADOR PLAZA ROAD

Building on the work taking place along this edge of the site, parcels along Amador Plaza Road are best positioned to benefit from city streetscape enhancements. Recent improvements have included enhanced pedestrian safety and streetscape beautification. Future design guidelines could encourage expanding this enhancement zone and adding outdoor seating along street-facing buildings as a way to strengthen the street's identity as a walkable area destination.

REINFORCE DUBLIN BOULEVARD AS DISTRICT GATEWAY

Once the CC&Rs are updated and improved, design guidelines could also address the streetscape experience through buildto lines for new, infill development along the retail district's edges. Strengthening Dublin Boulevard as the retail district's key connector—providing a sense of arrival and connection to the TOD zone—will clarify the district's role as the urban center of downtown Dublin. Lining the boulevard with mixed-use development that reflects what is occurring in the TOD zone—with up to five or six stories of residential or office development—would put much-needed density in an environment the city has already created to support it.

🔻 Landscaping along Amador Plaza Road, looking south



Rignage within the Specific Plan area and the TOD zone



BIG IDEAS

The following "big ideas" emerged as key themes from the panel's conversations with stakeholders. As a representation of what the stakeholder community deemed to be critical factors for the retail district's redevelopment, this list is in order according to what the panelists believe will have the greatest potential impact and should be given the highest priority in support of the retail district.

1. Create a city blueprint to increase density (as a catalyst for community benefits) and walkability within the district.

A unified blueprint is critical to the success of community initiatives to guide and support early development processes toward mutually beneficial outcomes.

2. Attract an entertainment anchor for the retail district.

Behind the creation of a unified blueprint, an entertainment anchor would significantly change the character of the area, helping it become a place where people want to spend time and socialize, further supporting both the retail uses in the district and a sense of community space.

3. Reduce level of development uncertainty.

Reducing uncertainty for proposed developers will decrease the amount of time a development takes from creation to execution. It allows a proposed developer to better understand the costs of development at the beginning of the process so there are fewer false starts. Reducing the false starts and the overall development time line, ultimately reduces costs for the City.

Some suggested ideas are:

- Clearly outline all impact and permit fees, in writing. This might require coordination with other local agencies but leaving the gathering of information and calculations up to proposed developers allows for too much misinformation to occur.
- Thoroughly define the Community Benefit Program costs and where these fees can be applied.
- Consider a change to a Form Base Code or developing a Precise/Specific Plan for areas of development focus. This creates certainty and can speed up the development process. Be sure to include specialists in the creation of the plans, i.e. multi-family residential, mixed use specialists, and/ or retail specialists, so the Precise/Specific Plan will have the market feasibility to allow it to be built.



TWO EXAMPLES OF POP-UP ENTERTAINMENT AND WALKABILITY FEATURES Hayes Valley, San Francisco, California¹⁹

Arcade Plaza, Seattle, Washington²⁰



4. Evaluate the potential to subsidize or finance sewer and water fees from the Dublin San Ramon Services District.

Currently, these fees are largely prohibitive of new restaurant development, a desired community use that relies heavily on these services. The sentiment exists in the development community that these fees are outsized compared with those in other communities.



★ FOUR EXAMPLES OF POP-UP PARKS AND TOWN SQUARE FEATURES M&S pop-up park, London, England²¹

Open space in context of entertainment district in Yarraville, Austalia²³



Windsor Town Green, Windsor, California²²



Public road turned public park in Yarraville, Australia²⁴



EXAMPLES OF SUCCESSFUL PLACEMAKING

Looking at comparable local cities, the panel suggests that Dublin explore a similar form-based code and fast-track development process for the retail district as that employed for Redwood City's downtown area. The city could also look into the Congress for the New Urbanism's charrette process, made famous by architect and urban designer Andrés Duany, in order to bring a more diverse group of stakeholders together to unify the city's vision for the district.

In addition to these two examples, the panelists provided a short list of notable examples of successful placemaking and temporary space activations:

PROXY SF

Proxy SF was a pioneer in temporary development and placemaking in response to the Great Recession, when land had been purchased for housing and the developer could not fund the development. The project, located in Hayes Valley, has become a jewel in the community. Developers are now starting to move forward with entitlement and will begin developing in the next year or so. (https://www.facebook.com/pg/proxysf/ photos/?tab=album&album_id=201829336529091)

PIER 70

Developer Forest City's Pier 70 at the Port of San Francisco uses programming and event space during the short, interim phases of redevelopment. Without the semi-permanent structures and landscape used by Proxy, the project focuses purely on the events and programming to activate the space. (www.pier70sf.com)

BOXPARK

Located in London, this shipping-container project was designed to pilot the ultimate feasibility of higher-end retail space in this location. The project ended up being a huge success, and the developer has kept the shipping-container structure instead of building a more traditional mall. (https://www.boxpark.co.uk)

Rroxy SF, Hayes Valley, San Francisco, California25



Rier 70, San Francisco, California²⁶



Roxpark, London, England²⁷



THE CUBE

An example in São Paolo, Brazil, of how a single new interactive piece of infrastructure in a public space can be programmed in order to revitalize a park space and generate excitement. However, the key here (and in Dublin) is continual city support through active programming. (https://www.pps.org/places/thecube)

BISCAYNE GREEN

Located in downtown Miami, Biscayne Green received a Knight Foundation grant to support a sense of community in the area through a one-month installation under an underpass that was closed to cars one summer. (https://www.biscaynegreenmiami. com/about)

SAN FRANCISCO'S GROUNDPLAY PROGRAM/ GUERRERO PARK

Leveraging public/private partnerships to activate the public realm, San Francisco supports installations and the temporary transformation of infrastructural remnants into active play spaces and parks in neighborhoods like Guerrero Park, Mission Bay, and the Bayview. Using ground murals, fiberglass structures, and drought-resistant plantings, these temporary solutions require fewer permits and little investment other than neighborhood support and creative imagination, and allow residents to become reacquainted with their own neighborhood—a lasting investment in community. (https:// groundplaysf.org/projects/san-jose-guerrero-park/)

SOCIAL SPARK SF

Social Spark, a new urban gathering space located in the heart of Mission Bay, is a food truck park, beer/sangria garden, and event space designed to bring the neighborhood together for fun times, day or night. It collaborates with small businesses to ignite the spirit of community by creating warm and welcoming spaces to eat and gather. It works with more than 150 food trucks from all over the Bay Area that are rotated every day for both lunch and dinner. (http://sparksocialsf.com)

The Cube, Sao Paulo, Brazil 28



Riscayne Green, Miami, Florida29



Kuerrero Park, San Francisco, California³⁰



Social Spark, San Francisco, California³¹



TAP PANEL PARTICIPANTS

BOB BURKE

Greenheart Land Company, Principal (TAP Chair)

As a principal in Greenheart Land Company, Burke is responsible for the acquisition and development of retail, office, and apartment properties on the San Francisco Peninsula. Greenheart recently developed 195 apartment units adjacent to Facebook's world headquarters in Menlo Park. Currently, 183 apartments, 200,000 square feet of office space, and 25,000 square feet of ground-floor retail space are under construction in a Greenheart development known as Station 1300, located adjacent to the Menlo Park CalTrain station. Before joining Greenheart, Burke managed the Northern California Group of Shea Properties, where he developed over \$500 million of office, retail, and apartment projects. Burke also was a senior development manager for three different northern California development companies. Burke received his MBA in real estate and finance from the University of California, Berkeley.

JANE LIN Urban Field Studio, Founding Partner

Lin, AIA, has a background that includes both architecture and city planning. She consults for both the public and private sectors on early-stage concepts for development. Many of Lin's projects and plans shape new development in suburban areas that are growing. These areas often include revitalization of main street retail and the improvement of the walkability and transit. Lin is also part of faculty for the ULI/National League of Cities Daniel Rose Fellowship this year. She received her M. Arch and Masters of City Planning from the University of California, Berkeley.

CHRISTINE FIRSTENBERG Retail Real Estate Resources, Principal

Firstenberg has over 30 years' experience in the Greater San Francisco and northern California retail real estate industry. She is currently a principal with Retail Real Estate Resources/ Metrovation. Prior to that, she spent three years as senior vice president at JLL. In 2002, she founded Metrovation Brokerage with ICSC retail legend Merritt Sher, which she led for 13 years. Prior to that, she spent nine years at San Francisco–based Terranomics Retail Services and six years at McMasters and Westland/TRI in Walnut Creek. Over the last few decades, Firstenberg has been very active in shopping center sales and redevelopments selling over 3.2 million square feet of retail product and leasing over 2.6 million square feet.

CHRISTINA BRIGGS

City of Fremont, Deputy Director of Economic Development and Assistant to the City Manager

Briggs has led business and economic development efforts in local government for over 15 years. As such, she provides economic health analysis and helps develop industry cluster strategies. Briggs takes particular pride in serving as an interface between business and government and has led several "win/ win" collaborations in the development process.

Briggs is the public sector co-chair of the International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) Northern California P3 committee, a member of Urban Land Institute, the Silicon Valley Economic Development Alliance, and the East Bay Economic Development Alliance; and is actively involved in several other regional industry associations.

She received her B.A. in language studies from the University of California, Santa Cruz, and her master of public administration degree from the Middlebury Institute of International Studies at Monterey.

KEN LOWNEY

Lowney Architects, President and Chief Executive Officer

Lowney founded Lowney Architecture in 2003 and is president and chief executive officer of the firm. He has worked with small and large companies, nonprofits, city governments, communities, and private developers in northern California and throughout the United States. Project expertise includes modular, grocery stores, retail, multifamily housing, restaurants, offices, parking structures, and master planning. Lowney Architecture has won particular acclaim for its vertical grocery store and prefabricated multifamily housing designs. The firm has won numerous design awards from professional organizations, including the Golden Nugget Award and the American Institute of Architects. The *San Francisco Business Times* consistently ranks Lowney Architecture among the top firms in the Greater Bay Area.

Lowney received his M. Arch from the Southern California Institute of Architecture.

BENJAMIN C. SIGMAN Economic & Planning Systems, Principal

Sigman is an economist with nearly 20 years of experience providing consulting services for public, private, institutional, and not-for-profit clients. Bringing significant experience analyzing land use projects and policies, his expertise includes real estate, municipal finance, regional economics, and environmental economics. Sigman's practice spans residential, retail, office, industrial, hospitality, entertainment, infrastructure, and openspace conservation projects throughout the United States. He has advised on urban infill, transit-oriented development, and brownfield redevelopment, as well as large-scale masterplanned developments and habitat conservation plans. Sigman is particularly interested in program and policy analysis at the intersection of economic development and environmental sustainability.

Sigman holds a BA in economics from Colby College and an MS in agricultural and resource economics from the University of California, Davis.

RAE SMITH HOK, Senior Planner and Urban Designer

As a certified planner and registered architect, Smith has nine years of experience in the planning, design, and entitlement of corporate, university, and health care campuses; mixed-use districts; and transit-oriented developments. A proponent of walkable urbanism, Smith's approach is supported by strong analytic skills and graceful persistence and dedication to improving the neighborhoods in which she works.

Smith holds a B.S. of architecture, and dual M. Arch and MCRP (master of city and regional planning) degrees from the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta.



* TAP panelists, April 2018

FIGURES LIST

ŀ	Specific	Plan Area	aerial	man

- 2: Specific Plan Districts
- 3: Retail market overview aerial map from Collier's International
- 4: Identity "Gateways"
- 5: Potential locations for the "Town Square"
- 6: Parcel map of 99 Ranch Market shopping center and Dublin Place shopping center
- 7: New housing development lines Dublin Boulevard and/or Town Square 14

IMAGE SOURCES

2

3

10

||

 \square

13

- I: David Baker+Partners, SocketSite, http://www.socketsite.com/archives/2009/04/ david_bakers_daggett_place_destiny_to_be_decided_today.html
- Tracy Trac, Realtor Events & Co, Tracy Trac, Realtor Events & Co, http:// washingtonhg.com/rockville-md/
- 3: Sand Hill Property Company, Sand Hill Property Company, http://www.shpco. com/past_projects/mixed_use_stc.php
- Alpharetta Convention & Visitors Bureau, HOSPITALITY HIGHWAY, http://www. hospitalityhighway.com/history-on-the-highway/
- Angela Ruggiero, Bay Area News Group, https://www.mercurynews. com/2017/03/06/san-ramon-driverless-shuttles-make-their-debut/
- 6: Jeremiah Cox, The SubwayNut, http://subwaynut.com/california/bart/west_ dublin_pleasanton
- 7: Parklab, PARKLAB, https://www.parklab.com/our-work/
- Paisaje Transversal, PaperBlog, https://es.paperblog.com/nueva-sesion-deautoconstruccion-de-gradas-en-el-campo-de-la-cebada-1008673/
- 9: Garden Decor Near Me, GREATEST DECOR, http://terryflew.com/gardendecor-near-me.html
- Signature Boston, Signature BOSTON, https://www.signatureboston.com/lawnon-d/community-activities/lawn-games
- II: Catalina Island Company, *Catalina Island Company*, https://www. visitcatalinaisland.com/activities-adventures/golf/mini-golf
- 12: M.Fischetti, VOICE, http://www.phillyvoice.com/opening-day-oval/
- 13: Map Data: Google, DigitalGloble, https://www.google.com/ maps/@37.7025438,-121.9286985,3a ,75y,201.5h,103.85t/ data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sazoplk-yN8DsHZbBpuPJKw !2e0!7i13312!8i6656?hl=en&authuser=0
- 14: CNU, Public Square, https://www.cnu.org/publicsquare/2018/01/23/suburbantown-revitalizes-incrementally
- 15: Town Fair Plaza, TOWN FAIR PLAZA, https://townfairplaza.com/about/
- Scott Wolf, Rochester Subway, http://www.rochestersubway.com/ topics/2012/06/roc-transit-day-june-21/
- 17: Aspect Studios, ASPECT Studios, https://www.aspect-studios.com/project/ frome-street-bikeway/
- Steve Szigethy, CityRegionNationWorld, http://cityregionnationworld.blogspot. com/2013/10/when-backage-becomes-frontage-and.html
- 19: WIRED, If Trump Wants To Fix Infrastructure, He Has to Learn From These Projects, https://www.wired.com/2016/11/trump-wants-fix-infrastructurelearn-projects/
- Linnea Westerlind, Year of Seattle Parks, http://www.yearofseattleparks. com/2018/04/23/arcade-plaza/
- London the Inside, LONDON THE INSIDE, https://londontheinside.com/ ms-pop-up-park/
- 22: WRT, WRT, http://www.wrtdesign.com/work/windsor-town-green
- World Landscape Architect, City Creates Pop-up Park in Yarraville, http:// worldlandscapearchitect.com/city-creates-pop-up-park-in-yarraville/
- 24. Maribyrnong News, Was a road, now a public park: the permanent park in Ballarat Street, Yarraville, https://cityofmari.wordpress.com/2015/02/23/was-aroad-now-a-public-park-the-permament-park-in-ballarat-street-yarraville/
- 25. Twitter, @ProxySF, https://twitter.com/PROXYSF
- 26: Pier70, PIER70, http://www.pier70sf.com/future/
- 27: Boxpark, BOXPARK, https://www.boxpark.co.uk/croydon/about/
- Project for Public Spaces, Great Public Spaces, THE CUBE, https://www.pps.org/ places/the-cube
- Biscayne Green, Biscayne GREEN, https://www.biscaynegreenmiami.com/ gallery?lightbox=dataltem-iykhuxtc
- Twitter, @BrianJencek Guerrero Park Community Visioning Pop-Up, https://twitter. com/BrianJencek/status/960282392341577728
- Social Spark SF, SPARK Social SF Facebook, https://www.facebook.com/pg/ sparksocialsf/photos/?ref=page_internal

ULI San Francisco

I California Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94111 (628) 231-2197 ULISanFrancisco@uli.org www.sf.uli.org www.uli.org